

DOI: 10.21767/2472-1093.100038

Telavancin Activity Against a Global Collection of *Staphylococcus aureus* Clinical Isolates (2013–2015)

Pfaller MA^{1,2}, Mendes RE^{1*}, Sader HS¹, Duncan LR¹, Castanheira M¹, Shortridge D¹ and Flamm RK¹

¹JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, 52317 USA

²University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52242 USA

*Corresponding author: Rodrigo E Mendes, JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, 52317 USA, Tel: (319) 665-3370; E-mail: mendes@jmilabs.com

Received date: November 08, 2017; Accepted date: November 19, 2017; Published date: November 22, 2017

Copyright: © 2017 Mendes RE, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Pfaller MA, Mendes RE, Sader HS, Duncan LR, Castanheira M, et al. Telavancin Activity Against a Global Collection of *Staphylococcus aureus* Clinical Isolates (2013–2015). J Infec Dis Treat. 2017, Vol.3 No.2:10.

Abstract

Telavancin had MIC₅₀, MIC₉₀, and MIC₁₀₀ values of 0.03, 0.06, and 0.12 µg/mL, respectively, against methicillin-susceptible (MSSA), methicillin-resistant (MRSA), and MRSA multidrug-resistant (MDR) subsets of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Isolates with elevated vancomycin MIC values (2 µg/mL) resulted in a telavancin MIC₅₀ (0.06 µg/mL) 2-fold higher than isolates with lower vancomycin MIC results (telavancin MIC₅₀, 0.03 µg/mL). However, telavancin had MIC₉₀ and MIC₁₀₀ results of 0.06 and 0.12 µg/mL (100% susceptible), respectively, regardless of methicillin-resistance phenotype.

Keywords: Telavancin activity; *Staphylococcus aureus*; Clinical isolates

Introduction

Among bacterial pathogens that cause healthcare-associated (HAI) and community-associated (CAI) infections, *Staphylococcus aureus* has proven to be a highly adaptable pathogen, fully capable of acquiring multiple resistance mechanisms as well as increased virulence [1]. The multidrug-resistant (MDR) capacity of *S. aureus*, especially healthcare-associated methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (HA-MRSA), coupled with concerns regarding the adequacy of vancomycin in treating complicated staphylococcal infections has prompted the development of several new agents with potent activity against MRSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA), and MDR MRSA, including strains with elevated vancomycin MIC values [1-7].

S. aureus continues to be a leading cause of septicemia, osteoarticular infections, skin and skin structure infections (SSSI), pleuropulmonary infections, and device-related infections [1,8]. Whereas infection rates from MRSA appear to have stabilized or even decreased in industrialized countries, concerns regarding suboptimal responses to glycopeptides,

the slow bactericidal activity of vancomycin, the emergence of isolates with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin and daptomycin on therapy, and possible MIC creep among susceptible isolates complicate managing *S. aureus* infections [1,3,4,6,7,9,10].

Telavancin is a parenteral, bactericidal, semisynthetic lipoglycopeptide agent that has been shown to be non-inferior to vancomycin in Phase 3 clinical trials of adult patients with complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSSI). Telavancin also was shown to be non-inferior to vancomycin in treating hospital-acquired bacterial pneumonia (HABP), including ventilator-associated bacteria pneumonia (VABP), due to susceptible gram-positive pathogens and *S. aureus*, respectively [5,11,12]. Telavancin has been approved for clinical use by the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the once-daily treatment of cSSSI and HABP/VABP. This agent has demonstrated comparable efficacy to vancomycin in a limited number of patients with either cSSSI or HABP/VABP and concurrent *S. aureus* bacteremia [13,14].

Previous studies demonstrated potent telavancin activity against *S. aureus* that included methicillin-resistant (MRSA) strains, heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* (hVISA) and VISA isolates, and vancomycin-susceptible *Enterococcus faecalis* [5]. Not only does telavancin inhibit peptidoglycan synthesis, it also interacts with the bacterial cell membrane causing depolarization and increased membrane permeability [5]. This dual mechanism of action contributes to the bactericidal activity of telavancin and might also prevent emerging resistance when it is used clinically. In fact, only 1 report has been published about *in vivo* development of a nonsusceptible phenotype during telavancin therapy [5].

The sustained potency of telavancin versus *S. aureus* strains collected in Europe from 2007 to 2008 [15] and in the United States from 2011 to 2013 [16] has been documented. The objective of the present study was to expand on the studies of Mendes et al. [15,16] by including 22,406 *S. aureus* clinical isolates from 77 US medical centers; 2 Canadian medical centers; and the rest of the world (ROW) with 39 medical centers in 19 European countries/regions, 10 medical centers in 4 Latin American countries, and 19 Asian-Pacific medical

centers in 9 countries for the years 2013 to 2015. All testing was performed using the revised CLSI method with the new quality control (QC) MIC ranges and interpretive criteria [17,18].

Materials and Methods

Isolates included in this study were part of the 2013–2015 US and ROW SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program, which monitors antimicrobial resistance and prevalence of pathogens causing bloodstream infections (BSI), community-acquired pneumonia, pneumonia in hospitalized patients, SSSI, intra-abdominal infections (IAI), and urinary tract infections (UTI). Participating sites follow instructions specific for each protocol to select and include consecutive and unique (1 per patient) isolates that were deemed clinically relevant based on local criteria until they reached a target number of 250–500 pathogens per site (depending on hospital size). Isolates that met the selection criteria for each of the 6 specific protocols were initially identified by the participant laboratory using local practices and were submitted to the coordinating monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA). Isolate bacterial identifications were confirmed by standard methods per Murray et al. [19]. Isolates showing questionable phenotypic and/or biochemical results had their identification confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany).

Isolates included in the study were recovered from BSI (4,080; 18.2%), SSSI (11,122; 49.6%), pneumonia in hospitalized patients (5,163; 23.0%), IAI (486; 2.2%), UTI (333; 1.5%), and other less prevalent or undetermined infection sources (1,222; 5.5%). Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following CLSI guidelines [17]. Telavancin was tested by the revised method according to CLSI [18,20] and product package insert [14] using panels manufactured at JMI Laboratories (2015) or purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (2013–2014) (Cleveland, Ohio, USA). Quality control for MIC values were quality assured by concurrently testing *S. aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *E. faecalis* (ATCC 29212). Telavancin

MIC interpretation for *S. aureus* applied the recently approved breakpoint criterion (≤ 0.12 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for susceptible) appropriate for the revised testing method [18,21]. CLSI and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoint criteria were applied for comparator agents [18,21]. Isolates were categorized according to their vancomycin (≤ 1 versus 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) MIC results. In addition, *S. aureus* isolates showing a resistant phenotype to oxacillin and a nonsusceptible phenotype to at least 3 additional classes of antimicrobial agents were defined as multidrug-resistant (MDR). The drugs used to categorize isolates as MDR [18] were: clindamycin, daptomycin, erythromycin, gentamicin, levofloxacin, linezolid, tetracycline, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, and vancomycin.

Results and Discussion

Overall, telavancin demonstrated MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values of 0.03 and 0.06 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, respectively, against *S. aureus* (100.0% susceptible), and equivalent values were observed against the MSSA, MRSA, and MDR MRSA subsets from the United States and ROW (**Table 1**). When tested against the isolate subset displaying vancomycin 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ MIC results, the telavancin MIC₅₀ value (0.06 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) was 2-fold higher than that (MIC₅₀, 0.03 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) obtained from isolates with lower MIC values for vancomycin (≤ 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$). Similarly, when tested against *S. aureus* with a vancomycin MIC of 2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$, daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) demonstrated MIC₅₀ values 2-fold higher than those observed for isolates with vancomycin MIC values of ≤ 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (daptomycin MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) (data not shown). *In vitro* activity comparison analysis resulted in telavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) showing MIC values 8-fold lower than daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) and 16 to 32-fold lower than vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) against MSSA, the overall MRSA group, and the MDR subset (**Table 2**). Gentamicin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole also had good antimicrobial coverage (>90.0% susceptible; CLSI) when tested against MRSA, and these agents plus levofloxacin and clindamycin were active against MSSA.

Table 1 Antimicrobial activity and MIC distribution for telavancin against a contemporary (2013–2015) global collection of *S. aureus* clinical isolates. ^aMSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MDR MRSA, multidrug-resistant defined as MRSA (methicillin [oxacillin]-resistant) nonsusceptible to 3 or more drug classes; ROW, rest of the world.

Region/phenotype ^a	MIC ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)		Number (cumulative %) inhibited at telavancin MIC ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) of:			
	50%	90%	≤ 0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12
(no. tested)						
US (14,019)						
MSSA (7,488)	0.03	0.06	545 (7.3%)	5,721 (83.7%)	1,220 (>99.9%)	2 (100.0%)
MRSA (6,531)	0.03	0.06	282 (4.3%)	5,180 (83.6%)	1,059 (99.8%)	10 (100.0%)
MDR (1,926)	0.03	0.06	79 (4.1%)	1,409 (77.3%)	435 (99.8%)	3 (100.0%)
Vancomycin MIC=2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (77)	0.06	0.06	1 (1.3%)	19 (26.0%)	55 (97.4%)	2 (100.0%)
ROW (8,387)						

MSSA (5,748)	0.03	0.06		332 (5.8%)	3,961 (74.7%)	1,450 (99.9%)	5 (100.0%)
MRSA (2,639)	0.03	0.06		122 (4.6%)	1,644 (66.9%)	860 (99.5%)	13 (100.0%)
MDR (1,081)	0.03	0.06		45 (4.2%)	564 (56.3%)	465 (99.4%)	7 (100.0%)
Vancomycin MIC=2 µg/mL (71)	0.06	0.06			11 (15.5%)	55 (93.0%)	5 (100.0%)

Daptomycin MIC results (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 µg/mL) obtained against *S. aureus* isolates with elevated vancomycin MIC values (2 µg/mL) were 2-fold higher than those obtained against isolates with vancomycin MIC data points at ≤ 1 µg/mL (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 µg/mL; data not shown). Daptomycin (97.3/97.3% susceptible [CLSI/EUCAST]) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1 µg/mL; 99.3/99.3% susceptible, [CLSI/ and EUCAST]) were active against *S. aureus* isolates with vancomycin MIC values of 2 µg/mL; however, telavancin had MIC results 8 to 16-fold lower than these comparators. There

were 10 isolates for which the daptomycin MIC values were >1 µg/mL (resistant by EUCAST criteria) and all showed telavancin MIC values of 0.06 µg/mL (susceptible by CLSI criteria). Gentamicin, tetracycline, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole also remained active *in vitro* against the *S. aureus* subset and showed vancomycin MIC values of 2 µg/mL (susceptibility range, 81.8–94.6%). The same 3 agents also remained active *in vitro* against the MDR MRSA subset (susceptibility range, 76.5–92.8%; **Table 2**).

Table 2 Antimicrobial activity of telavancin and comparator agents when tested against a contemporary (2013–2015) global collection of clinical isolates using the CLSI broth microdilution susceptibility testing method. ^aMSSA, methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MDR, multidrug-resistant. ^bTelavancin breakpoint criteria for *S. aureus* according to CLSI (2017) and EUCAST (2017; MRSA only) at ≤ 0.12 µg/mL for susceptible. ^cBreakpoint not available.

Organisma (no. tested)	MIC (µg/mL)		% Susceptible/% Intermediate/% Resistant ^b		
	50%	90%		CLSI	EUCAST
Antimicrobial agent					
MSSA (13,236)					
Telavancin	0.03	0.06		100.0/-c/-	-/-/-
Vancomycin	1	1		100.0/0.0/0.0	100.0/-/0.0
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5		>99.9/-/-	>99.9/0.0/<0.1
Linezolid	1	1		100.0/-/0.0	100.0/-/0.0
Levofloxacin	0.25	0.5		91.6/0.4/8.0	91.6/-/8.4
Erythromycin	0.25	>8		73.5/4.8/21.8	73.9/1.7/24.4
Clindamycin	≤ 0.25	≤ 0.25		96.0/0.1/3.9	95.7/0.3/4.0
Gentamicin	≤ 1	≤ 1		98.2/0.2/1.7	97.9/-/2.1
Tetracycline	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5		95.1/0.6/4.3	94.1/0.2/5.7
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5		99.6/-/0.4	99.6/0.1/0.4
MRSA (9,170)					
Telavancin	0.03	0.06		100.0/-/-	100.0/-/0.0
Vancomycin	1	1		100.0/0.0/0.0	100.0/-/0.0
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5		99.9/-/-	99.9/-/0.1
Linezolid	1	1		99.9/-/0.1	99.9/-/0.1
Levofloxacin	4	>4		28.6/1.2/70.2	28.6/-/71.4
Erythromycin	>8	>8		17.1/3.8/79.1	17.5/1.0/81.6
Clindamycin	≤ 0.25	>2		69.7/0.3/30.1	69.4/0.2/30.3
Gentamicin	≤ 1	≤ 1		91.3/0.3/8.4	90.9/-/9.1
Tetracycline	≤ 0.5	2		91.4/0.8/7.8	89.8/1.1/9.1
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5		97.3/-/2.7	97.3/0.4/2.3

<i>S. aureus</i> with vancomycin MIC 2 µg/mL (148)					
Telavancin	0.06	0.06		100.0/-/-	-/-/-
Vancomycin	2	2		100.0/0.0/0.0	100.0/-/0.0
Daptomycin	0.5	1		97.3/-/-	97.3/-/2.7
Linezolid	1	1		99.3/-/0.7	99.3/-/0.7
Levofloxacin	4	>4		47.3/0.0/52.7	47.3/-/52.7
Erythromycin	>8	>8		42.6/3.4/54.1	42.6/1.4/56.1
Clindamycin	≤ 0.25	>2		60.8/0.0/39.2	59.5/1.4/39.2
Gentamicin	≤ 1	>8		82.4/0.7/16.9	81.8/-/18.2
Tetracycline	≤ 0.5	4		90.5/0.0/9.5	85.1/4.7/10.1
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5		94.6/-/5.4	94.6/0.7/4.7
MDR MRSA (3,007)					
Telavancin	0.03	0.06		100.0/-/-	100.0/-/ 0.0
Vancomycin	1	1		100.0/0.0/0.0	100.0/-/ 0.0
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5		99.7/-/-	99.7/-/ 0.3
Linezolid	1	1		99.8/-/ 0.2	99.8/-/ 0.2
Levofloxacin	>4	>4		1.7/0.7/97.7	1.7/-/ 98.3
Erythromycin	>8	>8		0.8/2.5/96.8	0.9/0.6/98.4
Clindamycin	>2	>2		11.7/0.6/87.7	11.5/0.2/88.3
Gentamicin	≤ 1	>8		77.1/0.6/22.3	76.5/-/23.5
Tetracycline	≤ 0.5	>8		80.2/1.4/18.4	76.7/3.1/20.2
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	≤ 0.5	≤ 0.5		92.8/-/ 7.2	92.8/1.2/6.0

Conclusions

In this *in vitro* study, telavancin maintained potent activity against *S. aureus*, including isolates with decreased susceptibility to comparator agents, and maintained MIC₉₀ and MIC results of 0.06 and 0.12 µg/mL, respectively, against all examined resistant isolate subsets that included MRSA (100.0% susceptible). In addition, the telavancin potency observed was at least 8-fold greater than tested comparators. These results confirm telavancin had more potent activity when compared to earlier studies [22-24] that underestimated the potency of the drug due to solubility and drug binding to plastic trays during susceptibility testing [20].

Acknowledgements

The authors express appreciation to the following people for significant contributions to this manuscript: M. Castanheira, L. Deshpande, L. Flanigan, M.D. Huband, M. Janecek, J. Oberholser, P.R. Rhomberg, J.E. Schuchert, J.M. Streit, and L.N. Woosley for technical support and/or manuscript assistance.

Funding

This surveillance study was sponsored by an educational/research grant from Theravance Biopharma R&D, Inc., via the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program platform. JMI Laboratories received compensation fees for services to prepare the manuscript, which was funded by Theravance Biopharma R&D, Inc. Theravance Biopharma Antibiotics, Inc., had no involvement in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data.

Transparency statement

JMI Laboratories contracted to perform services in 2016 for Achaogen, Actelion, Allegra Therapeutics, Allergan, AmpliPhi Biosciences, API, Astellas Pharma, AstraZeneca, Basilea Pharmaceutica, Bayer AG, BD, Biomodels, Cardeas Pharma Corp., CEM-102 Pharma, Cempra, Cidara Therapeutics, Inc., CorMedix, CSA Biotech, Cutanea Life Sciences, Inc., Debiopharm Group, Dipexium Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Duke, Entasis Therapeutics, Inc., Fortress Biotech, Fox Chase Chemical Diversity Center, Inc., Geom Therapeutics, Inc., GSK, Laboratory Specialists, Inc., Medpace, Melinta Therapeutics, Inc., Merck & Co., Inc., Micromyx, MicuRx Pharmaceuticals,

Inc., Motif Bio, N8 Medical, Inc., Nabriva Therapeutics, Inc., Nexcida Therapeutics, Inc., Novartis, Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Pfizer, Polyphor, Rempex, Scynexis, Shionogi, Spero Therapeutics, Symbal Therapeutics, Synlogic, TenNor Therapeutics, TGV Therapeutics, The Medicines Company, Theravance Biopharma, ThermoFisher Scientific, VenatoRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Wockhardt, Zavante Therapeutics, Inc. There are no speakers' bureaus or stock options to declare.

References

- Tong SY, Davis JS, Eichenberger E, Holland TL, Fowler VG (2015) *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical manifestations, and management. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 28: 603-661.
- Cosgrove SE, Sakoulas G, Perencevich EN, Schwaber MJ, Karchmer AW, et al. (2003) Comparison of mortality associated with methicillin-resistant and methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: a meta-analysis. *Clin Infect Dis* 36: 53-59.
- Holubar M, Meng L, Deresinski S (2016) Bacteremia due to methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: New therapeutic approaches. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 30: 491-507.
- Kalil AC, Van Schooneveld TC, Fey PD, Rupp ME (2014) Association between vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentration and mortality among patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* bloodstream infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 312: 1552-1564.
- Karlowsky JA, Nichol K, Zhanel GG (2015) Telavancin: mechanisms of action, in vitro activity, and mechanisms of resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 61: S58-S68.
- Munita JM, Bayer AS, Arias CA (2015) Evolving resistance among Gram-positive pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis* 61: S48-S57.
- Niveditha N, Sujatha S (2015) Worrisome trends in rising minimum inhibitory concentration values of antibiotics against methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* - Insights from a tertiary care center, South India. *Braz J Infect Dis* 19: 585-589.
- Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati G, et al. (2014) Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. *N Engl J Med* 370: 1198-1208.
- Bai AD, Showler A, Burry L, Steinberg M, Ricciuto DR, et al. (2015) Impact of infectious disease consultation on quality of care, mortality, and length of stay in *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia: Results from a large multicenter cohort study. *Clin Infect Dis* 60: 1451-1461.
- Paul M, Kariv G, Goldberg E, Raskin M, Shaked H, et al. (2010) Importance of appropriate empirical antibiotic therapy for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65: 2658-2665.
- Rubinstein E, Lalani T, Corey GR, Kanafani ZA, Nannini EC, et al. (2011) Telavancin versus vancomycin for hospital-acquired pneumonia due to gram-positive pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis* 52: 31-40.
- Stryjewski ME, Graham DR, Wilson SE, O'Riordan W, Young D, et al. (2008) Telavancin versus vancomycin for the treatment of complicated skin and skin-structure infections caused by gram-positive organisms. *Clin Infect Dis* 46: 1683-1693.
- Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Smyth DS, Shopsin B, Farrell DJ, et al. (2012) Characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains recovered from a phase IV clinical trial for linezolid versus vancomycin for the treatment of nosocomial pneumonia. *J Clin Microbiol* 50: 3694-3702.
- VIBATIV Package Insert (2016) Package Insert. Theravance.
- Mendes RE, Sader HS, Jones RN (2010) Activity of telavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against *Staphylococcus* spp. isolated from hospitalised patients in Europe (2007-2008). *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 36: 374-379.
- Mendes RE, Sader HS, Flamm RK, Farrell DJ, Jones RN (2015) Telavancin in vitro activity against a collection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates, including resistant subsets, from the United States. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 59: 1811-1814.
- CLSI (2015) M07-A10. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard- tenth edition. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- CLSI (2017) M100-S27. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 27th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Murray PR, Baron EJ, Jorgensen JH, Landry ML, Pfaller MA (2007) *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*. 9th edn. Vol. 1. ASM Press, Washington D.C, USA.
- Farrell DJ, Mendes RE, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN (2014) Revised reference broth microdilution method for testing telavancin: effect on MIC results and correlation with other testing methodologies. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 58: 5547-5551.
- EUCAST (2017) Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 7.0, January 2017. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing.
- Hegde SS, Skinner R, Lewis SR, Krause KM, Blais J, et al. (2010) Activity of telavancin against heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* (hVISA) in vitro and in an in vivo mouse model of bacteraemia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65: 725-728.
- Mendes RE, Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Jones RN (2012) Worldwide appraisal and update (2010) of telavancin activity tested against a collection of Gram-positive clinical pathogens from five continents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56: 3999-4004.
- Duncan C, Guthrie JL, Tijet N, Elngihy N, Turenne C, et al. (2011) Analytical and clinical validation of novel real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction assays for the clinical detection of swine-origin H1N1 influenza viruses. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 69: 167-171.